

Causality condition and reflection on event horizon

M.Yu.Kuchiev* and V.V.Flambaum†

School of Physics, University of New South Wales, Sydney 2052, Australia

(Dated: February 7, 2008)

A new way to implement the causality condition on the event horizon of black holes is discovered. The metric of a black hole is shown to be a function of the complex-valued gravitational radius $r_g \rightarrow r_g + i0$. The relation between this modification of the metric and the causality condition is established using the analyticity of the S-matrix, which describes scattering of probing particles on a black hole. The found property of the metric has strong manifestations in scattering and related phenomena. One of them is the unexpected effect of reflection of incoming particles on the event horizon, which strongly reduces the absorption cross section.

PACS numbers: 04.70.Dy, 04.20.Gz

It is shown that quantum behavior of a probing particle on the event horizon of black holes should be described by the metric, which necessarily includes a small imaginary part. The causality condition and the analyticity of the scattering matrix provide a foundation for this result.

Consider scattering of probing particles on a black hole. The wave equation that describes this process is presumed to be well known. For example, scattering of scalar particles is described by the Klein-Gordon equation. However, in order to formulate the problem properly, it is necessary to establish the boundary conditions on the horizon. At the first glance this is a straightforward task because on the horizon the semiclassical approximation is valid. Relying on the classical result, which states that incoming particles cross the horizon smoothly, it seemed natural to assume that the incoming wave is completely absorbed by the horizon, producing no reflected wave in the process. This boundary condition was introduced in the pioneering works on the scattering problem [1, 2, 3, 4, 5], being used later on in a large number of (apparently all) further developments on scattering, see the books and reviews [6, 7, 8, 9] and references therein. It was also used in related problems, e.g. the radiation of gravitational waves by perturbed black holes, see the review [10] and reference therein.

Recent Refs.[11, 12, 13] pointed out though that thus formulated boundary condition is inconsistent, claiming that some part of the incoming wave is necessarily reflected on the horizon back into the outside world, i.e. the horizon is capable of reflecting particles. This effect is referred to below as the reflection on the horizon (RH). The RH was derived for eternal black holes using several different approaches in [11, 12, 13, 14], Ref.[15] gives their brief summary, and for the collapsing case [16].

A qualitative contradiction that exists between the RH and the conventional point of view, which assumes that the horizon is a perfect absorber, makes it necessary to study the problem in more detail. The present work suggests a new approach to the problem based on a new way of implementing the causality condition on the hori-

zon. It shows that the metric, which governs the propagation of a probing particle on the horizon, should be considered as a complex-valued function of the modified gravitational radius r'_g ,

$$r_g \rightarrow r'_g = r_g + i\gamma, \quad \gamma \rightarrow +0, \quad (1)$$

which has a small positive imaginary part. Here $r_g = 2GM$, M is the mass of the black hole. The relativistic units $\hbar = c = 1$ are used, if not stated otherwise. This modification of the gravitational radius proves very important for quantum phenomena, leading in particular to the RH, but is irrelevant in the classical approximation.

Let us present first an example, which demonstrates the meaning and importance of Eq.(1). Consider for simplicity the Schwarzschild geometry described by the metric $ds^2 = -(1 - r'_g/r) dt^2 + dr^2/(1 - r'_g/r) + r^2 d\Omega^2$ modified according to Eq.(1). Consider a massless scalar particle with zero orbital momentum $l = 0$ in the vicinity of the black hole. The corresponding Klein-Gordon equation for the radial wave function $\phi = \phi(r)$, which describes the state with the energy ε reads

$$\phi'' + \left(\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r - r'_g} \right) \phi' + \frac{\varepsilon^2 r^2}{(r - r'_g)^2} \phi = 0. \quad (2)$$

It is convenient to introduce also the scaled wave function $\chi = [r(r - r'_g)]^{1/2} \phi$, which satisfies the wave equation

$$\chi'' + \frac{1}{(r - r'_g)^2} \left(\varepsilon^2 r^2 + \frac{r_g'^2}{4r^2} \right) \chi = 0, \quad (3)$$

that looks similar to conventional Schrödinger-type equations $\chi'' + (\varepsilon^2 - U)\chi = 0$ with an effective, energy-dependent potential U , which is defined so as to comply with Eq.(3). The term $i\gamma$ that comes in Eqs.(2),(3) from (1) should be treated as finite at the intermediate stages of the analysis, the limit $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ is to be taken only at the end of calculations. This term regularizes the coefficients in the wave equation, making the equation finite at $r = r_g$. (Moreover, we will argue below that it is convenient to consider this equation for negative values of the

gravitational radius ($r'_g < 0$), when it is not singular on the horizon, and derive the results for the physical value of r_g using the analytical continuation.)

Consequently, Eq.(3) can describe the propagation of the wave function through the horizon. The fact that the outside observer cannot witness the crossing of the horizon by a particle presents no obstacles for the penetration of the wave function into the inside region. The causality principle, which forbids this crossing, works only for real physical processes, whereas the wave function by itself describes virtual processes. In order to extract amplitudes of physical processes one has to fulfil some transformation on the wave function, which must ensure that the causality is preserved (see below).

To make this point more transparent, consider a simple example, the conventional propagator, which describes the free scalar particle $D(\mathbf{p}, \varepsilon) = (\varepsilon^2 - \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}}^2 + i\gamma)^{-1}$, where $\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}}$ is the energy of the particle with the momentum $\mathbf{p}$. Transforming the propagator into the coordinate representation, one finds that it remains nonzero for positive intervals, $D(\mathbf{r}, t) \neq 0$ for $\mathbf{r}^2 - t^2 > 0$. Consequently, the perturbation theory based on this propagator results in the wave function, which penetrates into those regions of space-time that appear forbidden by the causality condition. This fact, however, does not come into contradiction with the causality for physical processes due to the correctly chosen imaginary part $i\gamma$ in the propagator $D(\mathbf{p}, \varepsilon)$, see e.g. the book [17]. Similarly, the fact that Eq.(3) allows the wave to propagate into the inside region cannot be discarded on the grounds of the causality principle. Generically, the wave function is allowed to propagate into any region, where the wave equation is well-defined. We will revisit this point later on, when discussing physical origins of Eq.(1).

First, let us finish our discussion of the consequences of Eq.(1). Take the asymptotic solutions of Eq.(2) on the horizon $\phi = \exp[\mp i\varepsilon r_g \ln(r/r'_g - 1)]$, $r - r_g \ll r_g$, where the terms with minus and plus signs describe the incoming and outgoing waves respectively. In the scattering problem it is convenient to start from the incoming wave in the outside region

$$\phi_{\text{in}} = \exp[-i\varepsilon r'_g \ln(r/r'_g - 1)] . \quad (4)$$

The finite term $i\gamma$, which is present in Eq.(4) allows this wave to penetrate into the inside region, where it reads $\phi_{\text{in}} = \rho \exp[-i\varepsilon r_g \ln(1 - r/r'_g)]$ for $r < r_g$, being suppressed by a factor $\rho = \exp(-\pi\varepsilon r_g) < 1$. This fact prompts one to formulate the boundary condition at the origin. Choosing the regular solution, which is conventional for the partial wave expansion, one concludes that in the inside region there necessarily exists also the outgoing wave, which should have the same amplitude as the incoming wave. On the horizon of the inside region this outgoing wave reads $\phi_{\text{out}} = \rho \exp[i\varepsilon r_g \ln(1 - r/r'_g) + i\alpha]$. Here α is the phase, which appears due to the propagation in between the horizon and the origin; its explicit

value is irrelevant for the present study. Crossing the horizon (with the help of the same finite term $i\gamma$) this wave enters the outside region

$$\phi_{\text{out}} = \mathcal{R} \exp[i\varepsilon r'_g \ln(r/r'_g - 1)] , \quad (5)$$

$$|\mathcal{R}| = \rho^2 = \exp(-\varepsilon/2T_H) . \quad (6)$$

Here $T_H = \hbar c/(4\pi r_g)$ is the Hawking temperature (conventional units). Combining Eqs.(4) and (5) one finds that the proper wave function, which describes the incoming particle in the outside region, reads

$$\phi = \phi_{\text{in}} + \mathcal{R} \phi_{\text{out}} . \quad (7)$$

Here the first term represents the initial incoming wave, while the second one clearly describes the outgoing wave that emanates from the horizon. This means that particles are reflected on the horizon. This phenomenon is called the RH. The quantity $\mathcal{R}$, which describes the intensity of the RH, represents the reflection coefficient. Notably, it does not depend on γ introduced in Eq.(1), that is the necessary limit $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ is taken into account automatically.

Eq.(6), which was first derived in [11, 12, 13], shows that in the high energy region $\varepsilon > T_H$ the reflection coefficient is small, making the RH insignificant. The classical result, which predicts that the RH is impossible, i.e. $\mathcal{R} = 0$, is recovered in a limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ that implies that $T_H = 0$. In contrast, in the infrared region $\varepsilon \ll T_H$ the RH is very strong, $|\mathcal{R}| \simeq 1$, which makes the horizon a good infra-red mirror.

In accord with the latter claim, the RH drastically suppresses the low-energy absorption cross-section

$$\sigma_{\text{abs}} = \eta \sigma_{\text{abs}}^{(0)} , \quad \eta = \pi\varepsilon r_g \ll 1 . \quad (8)$$

Here $\sigma_{\text{abs}}^{(0)}$ is the cross section, which neglects the RH, the factor η accounts for the RH, reducing the absorption cross-section. Eq.(8) was first derived in Ref. [14] for a particular case, the s-wave scattering of scalar particles. In the present work we verified by explicit calculations that Eq.(8) is valid for the impact of scalar particles, photons and gravitons on Schwarzschild black holes for any allowed quantum numbers of these particles [23].

After discussing the consequences of Eq.(1), let us now prove its validity. Our starting point is the causality condition. As is well known, in any quantum field theory the causality condition makes the scattering matrix an analytical function of energy [17]. One may argue that quantum gravity does not completely fall under the category of well-understood quantum field theories, questioning an applicability of the general theorem that relates the causality and analyticity. Fortunately, there exist independent methods for verification of analyticity. Eq.(3) is similar to a conventional Schrödinger-type equation. One can rely therefore on the Landau argument discussed in

[18], which states that the S-matrix is an analytical function of energy on the physical sheet, if the potential $U(r)$ satisfies condition $U(r) \rightarrow 0$, when $r \rightarrow \infty$ in the semi-plane $\text{Re } r > 0$ of the complex plane r . This is obviously the case for the potential $U(r)$ that governs Eq.(3) [24].

Thus, the general theorem based on the causality condition and a more specific approach, which relies on the Landau argument, both show that the S-matrix is an analytical function of energy. For simplicity we will continue to restrict ourselves to the most transparent case of the impact of massless scalar particles with $l = 0$ on Schwarzschild black holes. Since the S-matrix is analytical on the physical sheet ($\text{Im } \varepsilon \geq 0$) [25], one can extend its definition as far as the negative semiaxis $\varepsilon < 0$.

Note now that the S-matrix also depends on parameters that govern the potential. In our case there is only one such parameter, the gravitational radius. Thus, the S-matrix is a function $S = S(\varepsilon, r'_g)$. Moreover, this function should depend on dimensionless quantities only, which makes it a function of only one available dimensionless variable $\varepsilon r'_g$

$$S \equiv S(\varepsilon r'_g) . \quad (9)$$

One can treat the gravitational radius r'_g in Eq.(9) as a complex-valued parameter. Combining the analyticity of the S-matrix over the energy with Eq.(9) one immediately deduces that for positive physical energies ($\varepsilon > 0$) the S-matrix is an analytical function of r'_g in the upper semiplane ($\text{Im } r'_g \geq 0$) of the complex plane r'_g . Therefore, starting from the physical region ($\varepsilon > 0$, $r'_g > 0$), the S-matrix can be defined in the upper semiplane of the gravitational radius, which extends up to the negative semiaxis ($r'_g < 0$).

Let us now revert the argument, using the analyticity of the S-matrix as a tool for proper definition of the scattering problem. Consider the range of parameters ($\varepsilon > 0$, $r'_g < 0$). The condition $r'_g < 0$ makes the coefficients of the wave equation Eq.(3) regular real functions of the radius r in the physically allowed interval $0 < r < \infty$. This fact greatly simplifies the boundary conditions, which state that the wave function should be regular at the origin $r = 0$ and describe the free-type propagation at infinity $r = \infty$. Since the equation is regular at $r = -r'_g = |r'_g|$, one does not need to formulate explicitly the boundary conditions on the horizon.

Thus, the chosen range of parameters ($\varepsilon > 0$, $r'_g < 0$) makes scattering an ordinary problem formulated on the interval $0 < r < \infty$. Solving it and extracting the corresponding S-matrix one can use Eq.(9) to re-interpret the result, proclaiming that the S-matrix found describes the case of a positive gravitational radius and negative energy ($\varepsilon < 0$, $r'_g > 0$). Finally, using the analyticity of the S-matrix over the energy, one can continue it from the negative semiaxis of energies into the physical region, where both the energy and the gravitational radius are positive ($\varepsilon > 0$, $r'_g > 0$).

We see that scattering in the physical region ($\varepsilon > 0$, $r'_g > 0$) can be described in terms of the scattering problem formulated for non-physical, negative gravitational radii ($\varepsilon > 0$, $r'_g < 0$), where the problem is much simpler because the coefficients of the wave equation are regular. Developing this argument, one observes that the coefficients in Eq.(3) remain regular analytical functions of r'_g in all of the upper semiplane ($\text{Im } r'_g > 0$) for all values of the argument r in the physical region $0 < r < \infty$. Therefore, one can rely on this equation when formulating the scattering problem for any value of the gravitational radius in this semiplane, where the boundary condition on the horizon remains redundant. In particular, r'_g can be chosen in a vicinity of its physical value, which is exactly what Eq.(1) is suggesting. We see that the purpose of Eq.(1) is to ensure that the wave equation and the S-matrix are defined via the analytical continuation from the upper semiplane of the gravitational radius $\text{Im } r'_g > 0$, which ensures that the S-matrix is an analytical function in this area, as it should be.

Let us briefly summarize the arguments unfolded above. The starting point was the causality condition, which makes the S-matrix an analytical function of energy (more direct arguments support this fact). The analyticity over energy brings in the analyticity of the S-matrix over the gravitational radius, prompting one to consider the wave equation for complex values of the gravitational radius, which makes the coefficients in the wave equation finite, regular functions. As a result, the explicit formulation of the boundary conditions on the horizon becomes redundant. Returning to the positive physical value of the gravitational radius one then recovers the physical S-matrix via its analytical continuation. Thus, the analyticity of the S-matrix is used as a tool for derivation of the proper boundary conditions on the horizon in the physical region.

Apparently, the suggested modification of the metric should have serious implications for *any* quantum phenomenon near a black hole, as shows the considered example of scattering of scalar particles (electromagnetic and gravitational waves were also mentioned above, but only briefly). We demonstrated that the boundary conditions on the event horizon, which follow from Eq.(1), lead to the surprising effect of reflection of incoming particles from the horizon. The chain of arguments, which lead from the causality condition via the analyticity of the S-matrix to the reflection, makes this derivation transparent and reliable. The reflection on the horizon reduces the absorption cross section, which may be of interest for description of evolution of primordial black holes at early stages of the Big Bang.

This work was supported by the Australian Research Council.

* Email:kuchiev@newt.phys.unsw.edu.au

† Email:flambaum@newt.phys.unsw.edu.au

- [1] W.H. Press and S. A. Teukolsky, *Astrophys. J.* **141**, 443 (1974).
- [2] A. A. Starobinsky, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **64**, 48 (1973) [*Sov.Phys.JETP* **37**, 28 (1973)].
- [3] A. A. Starobinsky and C. M. Churilov, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **65**, 3 (1973) [*Sov.Phys.JETP* **38**, 1 (1974)].
- [4] W. G. Unruh, *Phys. Rev. D* **14**, 3251 (1976).
- [5] N. Sanchez, *Phys. Rev. D* **18**, 1030 (1977).
- [6] V. P. Frolov and I. D. Novikov, *Black hole physics: basic concepts and new developments* (1998) Dordrecht; Boston: Kluwer.
- [7] S. Chandrasekhar, *The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes* (1993) New York: Oxford University Press.
- [8] J. A. H. Futterman, F. A. Handler, and R. A. Matzner, *Scattering from black holes* (1988) Cambridge; New York: Cambridge University Press.
- [9] N. Sanchez, *The black hole: scatterer absorber and emitter of particles* hep-th/9711068.
- [10] L. Rezzolla, *Gravitational waves from perturbed black holes and relativistic stars*, gr-qc/0302025. "Published in Trieste 2002, Astroparticle physics and cosmology", pp. 255-316.
- [11] M. Yu. Kuchiev, *Reflection from black holes*, gr-qc/0310008.
- [12] M. Yu. Kuchiev, *Europhys. Lett.* **65**, 445 (2004).
- [13] M. Yu. Kuchiev, *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 124031 (2004).
- [14] M. Yu. Kuchiev and V. V. Flambaum, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 044022 (2004).
- [15] M. Yu. Kuchiev and V. V. Flambaum, *Reflection on event horizon and escape of particles from confinement inside black holes*, gr-qc/0407077.
- [16] M. Yu. Kuchiev, *Reflection on event horizon for collapsing black holes*, gr-qc/0411009.
- [17] N. N. Bogoliubov and D. V. Shirkov, *Introduction to the theory of quantized fields* (1980) New York: Wiley.
- [18] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshits, *Quantum mechanics: non-relativistic theory* (1977) New York: Pergamon.
- [19] G. 't Hooft, *Horizons*, gr-qc/0401027. Lecture presented at the Erice School for Sub-Nuclear Physics (2003).
- [20] G. 't Hooft, *J. Mod. Phys. A* **11**, 4623 (1996).
- [21] S. W. Hawking, *Nature (London)* **248**, 30 (1974).
- [22] S. W. Hawking, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **43**, 199 (1975).
- [23] Presumably Eq.(8) remains applicable for fermions as well, though explicit calculations related to this fact has not yet been finished.
- [24] The effective nature of this energy-dependent potential bears no negative consequences for the applicability of the Landau argument because in our case the necessary condition $U(r) \rightarrow 0$ is valid when $|r| \rightarrow \infty$ for arbitrary direction on the complex plane r .
- [25] The variable ε in Eq.(3) plays the role of the effective momentum k for the Shrödinger equation; in terms of the momentum the physical sheet is defined as $\text{Im } k \geq 0$.